	WHENTAL PROTECTION
Mar	an 1 Cana
DENUTIN	
1	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/E ARMS COMPL	DISCOVERY (CI)				
AIRS ID#: 1110133 DATE: <u>10/24/2013</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:00</u>	DEPART: <u>1:45</u>				
FACILITY NAME: ARMORTEC-FT. PIERCE PLANT						
FACILITY LOCATION: 5600 W Midway Rd						
FORT PIERCE 34981-4	4833					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: DAN	STEFFECK	<b>PHONE:</b> (513)645-7000				
Email: CONTACT NAME: MIKE ADAMS Email: AdamsM@contech-cpi.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/19/2013 / 4/19/2018 (effective date) (end date)		Mobile: PHONE: (561)373-1027 Mobile:				
Facility Section						
	PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check  only one box)					
IN COMPLIANCE IMINOR Non-COMPI	LIANCE SIC	GNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING         1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):		(check $\square$ only one box for each question)				
Brief Notes:						
<ol> <li>Is the Authorized Representative still DAN STEFFECK If no, who is?:</li> </ol>	?	YesNo				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative up 3. Is the facility contact still MIKE ADAMS? If no, who is?:						
<ol> <li>Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's ins If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15</li> </ol>	spection?	⊠ Yes □No ⊠ Yes □No				

## **Emissions Unit Section**

5 – CCB Plant-silo	(cement	) w/silo to	p baghouse sul	bject to 5% O	pacity Limit
--------------------	---------	-------------	----------------	---------------	--------------

PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection: <u>9/21/2012</u></li> <li>Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:         <ul> <li>a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?</li> <li>b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?</li> <li>c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
operation? $\square$ N/A	Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>d. Date of last VE test: <u>9/21/2012</u></li> <li>e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?</li> <li>f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?</li> <li>g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>25</u> tons/hour</li> </ul>	$\boxtimes$ Yes $\boxtimes$ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
<ul> <li>h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? X/A</li> <li>i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?</li></ul>	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	Yes Yes	🗌 No
PART II: <u>STACK EMISSIONS</u> from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Xes Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No 🗌 N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		pection.
f. What was the silo loading rate? <u>27</u> tons/hour	_	$\square$ No
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to		No
<ol> <li>Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?</li> <li>During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate</li> </ol>	te and	🗌 No
<ul> <li>duration?</li></ul>	tes	🗌 No
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll	ector	_
<ul><li>conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?</li><li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute</li></ul>		🛛 No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		□ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> <li>d. What was the process rate? <u>27</u> tons/hour.</li> </ul>		□ No

## Facility Section (continued)

CON	FIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	
			question)
	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:		
	. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?		No No
	. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?		No No
с	100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
2. D	Does this facility include:		
	Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception	n of	
	nits and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or	101	
	ule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
	If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
	. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene		
pe	ermit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?	- 🗌 Yes	🖂 No
	If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		
3 Ia	s the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:		
	. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	🖂 Yes	□ No
	. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?		$\bowtie$ No
	. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?		$\boxtimes$ No
	. 1.3 million gallons of propane?		$\boxtimes$ No
	. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		No No
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal prop	$vane/yr \leq 1.00$	?
27	75,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propa	ne/yr	
	las the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consur		<b>—</b>
fo	or each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	🗌 Yes	∐ No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
<ol> <li>Does the owner or operator:</li> <li>a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li> </ol>		No No
<ul> <li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?</li> <li>3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access</li> </ul>		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check ☑ box for each	
1. Is the facility: stationary $\boxtimes$ ; relocatable $\square$ ; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable $\square$		-
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following	g question 2.)	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and		
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2 .b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,		
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(	6)]	
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	5)]	
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	🗌 Yes	No No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per	mit	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:	1111 <b>t</b> ,	
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage	$2)2 \square Ves$	No No
If YES, what was the purpose?		
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was		
co-located at the permitted facility?	🗌 Yes	No No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		
CHANGES	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	question)
Administrative Changes:		
1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representation of the facility of authorized representation of the facility of t		
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions ur		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?		No No
2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	🗌 Yes	∐ No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
<ul><li>a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li><li>b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?</li></ul>		🛛 No 🖂 No
		=
<ul><li>c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?</li><li>d. A change in ownership?</li></ul>	🗌 Yes 🗍 Yes	🛛 No 🖂 No
u. A change in ownership:		🛛 No

Geoff Burke

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

10/24/2013

Date of Inspection

10/24/2014

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** GB: 10/24/2013 Conducted records review, facility inspection & witnessed VE test.

30 days prior to the change? ----- Yes

No No